

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATIO	N NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,1	00	09/18/2003	Takahiro Matsumoto	1232-5157	6139
27123	759	0 02/01/2005		EXAM	INER
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.				EVERHART, CARIDAD	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2820	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/665,100	MATSUMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Caridad M. Everhart	2825				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 1 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 November 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the state of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) 10-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/665,100 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

Applicant's arguments filed 11-12-2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support was not found in the disclosure as originally filed for the apparatus limitations "a first processing unit…a second processing unit…" and limitations of the dependent claims in addition to these limitations of claim 17. The only description of apparatus was found on pages 8 and 9 of the specification, which corresponded to original claims 8 and 9.

Response to Arguments

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-9 and submitted new claims 10-23. Applicant has argued that Kikuchi does not disclose the first through third "obtaining" steps, the "evaluating" and the "selecting" steps.

These arguments are respectfully disagreed with for the following reasons, which are given in the rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/665,100

Art Unit: 2825

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 10-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi (US 2002/0042664A1).

With respect to the detection step, this is the same as the obtaining an image information step, as the when an image is obtained, the alignment mark is detected. Therefore, as described in the previous rejection, Kikuchi discloses detecting through image information the position of every alignment mark or position detection mark(paragraph 0007 and paragraph 0136) by using a light-receiving surface. The calculations are done with respect to a plurality of positions(paragraph 0275). Kikuchi also teaches two position detecting methods, one being a statistical method and the other being based on a nonlinear function(paragraphs 0040 and 0048), which is interpreted to be the two position obtaining methods or steps. The third obtaining step is provided by Kikuchi in the calculating step(paragraph 0282 and 0307), which was described in the last Office Action. Kikuchi also teaches the evaluation step, which is the comparing of data step which was described in the last Office Action. Kikuchi also teaches the selection step(paragraph 0083). The calculation of residual error (paragraph 0230) and the storage and comparison in order to carry out the selection step corresponds to the evaluation step of evaluation of the approximation degrees preceding the actual step of selection. With respect to template comparison, this corresponds to the evaluation and selection, as the templates can be represented by

Application/Control Number: 10/665,100

Art Unit: 2825

the equations. The apparatus for carrying out the steps are also implied in the cited paragraphs from Kikuchi and shown, for example, in Fig. 2. .

Kikuchi does not express the steps in the sense of the obtaining steps.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the obtaining steps can be interpreted in terms of the calculations made by Kikuchi.

With respect to the slope being used in the calculations,

Kikuchi discloses that the equations of the positions in terms of the x and the y

components of the positions are calculated and stored in terms of Fourier series

equations(paragraphs 0196, 0198, 0239, and 0285), which it is known can be related to
the slope, so that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the
time of the invention to have used the maximum slope calculations because Kikuchi
uses maximum in Fourier series coefficients, which can be related to slope.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2825

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 571-272-1892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C. Everhart 1-28-2005